



## **Clackamas to Columbia Corridor Steering Committee Meeting #1**

**Thursday, July 23, 2020**

**3:00-5:00 pm**

### **Meeting Summary**

Meeting Recording:

<https://youtu.be/OLm0pMn1VWsv>

#### **Meeting purpose**

Members will:

- Receive an overview of the project,
- Review project packages and a summary of the initial public input gathered from the [online open house](#) to date.
- Provide guidance on packages.

Attendees:

Clackamas County

- Commissioner Paul Savas – Steering Committee
- Steve Williams – Project Partner

Multnomah County

- Commissioner Lori Stegmann – Steering Committee
- Nathan Clark – Director of Strategic Partnerships
- Graham Martin – Project Partner

City of Gresham

- Katherine Kelly – Project Partner
- Jay Higgins – Project Partner

City of Happy Valley

- Councilor Brett Sherman – Steering Committee
- Councilor Jerry Hinton – Steering Committee
- Michael Walter – Project Partner

ODOT

- Seth Brumley – Project Partner

JLA Public Involvement

- Jeanne Lawson – Project Partner

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

- Marc Butorac – Project Partner
- Krista Purser – Project Partner
- Alec Kauffman – Project Partner

Time	Topic	Facilitator
3:00	Welcome and purpose of meeting. Introductions.	Katherine Kelly, City of Gresham
3:05	Agenda overview	Jeanne Lawson, Facilitator
3:10	Overview of C2C: Purpose and Objectives and schedule Background: Planning efforts that identified projects	Jay Higgins
3:30	Update on Metro Get Moving 2020 Regional Investment Measure	Marc Butorac
3:40	<p>Overview of Memorandum 7.1</p> <p>-Investment package development</p> <p>-Package 1:</p> <p>-Savas: Phase 1, Phase 2, Phase 3 are different from what is commonly used: EIS and Metro Phases/Stages are different</p> <p>-Hinton: Wanted to know where the new 172<sup>nd</sup> to 190<sup>th</sup> connector roadway is located Response: the connector is a new road, includes 3 roundabouts, and several realignments (see attached exhibit)</p> <p>-Stegman: What was there before? Response: It was originally planned by Clackamas County, and there is an adopted corridor plan that details the connector and outreach has already been done.</p> <p>Package 2:</p> <p>-Sherman: 190<sup>th</sup> widening should come in conjunction with connector widening.</p> <p>-Hinton: Highland was widened so some work may not be needed. Response: yes, the intersection of Highland and 190<sup>th</sup> was improved; however, additional bridge improvements and ROW acquisitions will be needed for C2C.</p>	Marc/ Krista Purser

4:20	<p>Feedback from the Virtual Workshop to Date  Outreach Updates and Overview  Upcoming Outreach</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Online Open House is Ongoing</li> <li>- Virtual Event #1 – July 16<sup>th</sup> – August 5<sup>th</sup></li> <li>- Virtual Event #2 – September 10<sup>th</sup></li> <li>- SC Meeting #2 – 3 - 5 PM, September 16<sup>th</sup></li> <li>- Group confirmed availability</li> </ul>	Jeanne
	<p>Committee Discussion</p> <p>Savas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Are there any sequencing needs?  Response: Packages 5-8 do not have a strict order. Packages 1-4 have considerations for their order. See Memo 8.1, Implementation Strategies, in the C2C Corridor Plan for more details.</li> </ul> <p>Hinton:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Constituents: Jenne Road, had pushback prior; however, the community should now be onboard as a result of public outreach.</li> </ul> <p>Savas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Question: Are all 8 packages funded by Metro Get Moving?  Response: Only Package 1.</li> <li>- Question: What is the timeline for right-of-way acquisitions?  Response: Right-of-way acquisition could start within the next 4 years.</li> </ul> <p>Sherman:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Public outreach for the connector is paramount</li> <li>- Biggest questions from community will likely be associated with the Sunrise connector</li> <li>- Residents will want to know about the southern connection Sunrise (Phase 2 &amp; 3)</li> <li>- Happy to see a multi-jurisdictional route</li> <li>- Happy to see transportation improvements to the area</li> </ul> <p>Stegmann:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Projects on 181<sup>st</sup> make sense as it is a heavily traveled arterial, needs safety improvements, the proposed improvements are spot on</li> <li>- Has been a high priority for those in the Rockwood area for decades</li> </ul> <p>Savas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Hoping to find funding for Sunrise soon</li> <li>- Highway 212 is the emergency route to Redmond for a Cascadia Subduction event</li> </ul>	Committee

4:30	<p>Next Steps and Wrap up</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Align language for the Sunrise improvements to avoid confusion.</li><li>- Make sure all agencies are aware of 172<sup>nd</sup> to 190<sup>th</sup> connector in the existing 172<sup>nd</sup> to 190<sup>th</sup> corridor plan</li><li>- Kittelson to email 172nd to 190th corridor plan information to SC for reference (Link: <a href="http://blog.project.kittelson.com/system/datas/194/original/10213_Final%20Report_2012-3-12.pdf">http://blog.project.kittelson.com/system/datas/194/original/10213_Final%20Report_2012-3-12.pdf</a>)</li></ul>	Marc
------	---	------