Updated Prioritization Criteria for Pedestrian Network

	Criterion	Brief description	Input	Rank	Measurement	Points
1	Serve Key Destinations	Is the project located in an area with high demand for walking?	Areas with high levels of potential walking activity	High	Project is located in a regional/town Center, or within an area zoned for high density residential (more than 16 units per acre), or within 1/4 mile of a hospital or health clinic.	10
				Medium	Project is within a 1/4 mile of a school, library, or park	5
				Low	Does not connect to a major destination	0
2	Transit Access	To what extent does this project improve pedestrian access to the transit network?	Transit ridership by stop (boardings)	High	Project is within 1/2 mile of a transit stop with more than 100 boardings a day	10
				Medium	Project is within 1/4 mile of a transit stop with 20 to 100 boardings a day	5
				Low	Project is within 1/4 mile of a transit stop with less than 20 boardings a day	0
3	Promote Safety	To what extent does this project provide an immediate pedestrian safety improvement at a location with a recorded safety concern?	Crashes within 100 feet of the project	High	Two or more pedestrian crashes have occurred along the segment or intersection in the last five years for which there is data.	10
				Medium	A pedestrian crash has occurred along the segment or intersection in the last five years for which there is data.	5
				Low	No reported crashed occurred	0
4	Level of Comfort for Pedestrians	To what extent does the segment provide a comfortable walking environment	Pedestrian level of service score.	High	Pedestrian Level of Service Score of 5	10
				Medium	Pedestrian Level of Service Score 3 or 4	5
				Low	Pedestrian Level of Service Score of 1 or 2	0
5	Promote Health	To what extent does the segment provide access to healthy food or is in areas with higher incidence of diabetes?	Health score with a 1/4-mile proximity to stores with healthy food and/or proximity to block groups of higher incidence of diabetes.	High	Project is within 1/4 mile of a healthy food store and in a census tract with a diabetes rate over 10%.	10
				Medium	Project is within 1/4 mile of a healthy food store or in a census tract with a diabetes rate over 10%.	5
				Low	Project is not within 1/4 mile of a healthy food store or in a census tract with a diabetes rate over 10%.	0
6	Equity	To what extent does the project benefit under-served communities?	Equity composite measure showing block groups where pedestrian improvements could benefit underserved, vulnerable populations.	High	Block group scored in top tier in the Equity Index	10
				Medium	Block group scored in the middle tier in the Equity Index	5
				Low	Block group score in the lowest tier in the Equity Index	0

Updated Prioritization Criteria for Bike Routes for Everyone Network

	Criterion	Brief description	Input	Rank	Measurement	Points
1	**Serve Key Destinations**	Is the project located in an area with high demand for biking?	Areas with higher levels of potential biking activity	High	Project is located in a regional/town Center, or within an area zoned for high density residential (more than 16 units per acre), or within 1/4 mile of a hospital or health clinic.	10
				Medium	Project is within a 1/4 mile of a school, library, or park	5
				Low	Does not connect to a major destination	0
2	**Transit Access**	To what extent does this project improve access to the transit network?	Transit ridership by stop (boardings)	High	Project is within 1/2 mile of a transit stop with more than 100 boardings a day	10
				Medium	Project is within 1/4 mile of a transit stop with 20 to 100 boardings a day	5
				Low	Project is within 1/4 mile of a transit stop with less than 20 boardings a day	0
3	**Promote Connectivity**	To what extent does this bikeway contribute to the Bicycle Routes for Everyone Network?	Connections to the Bike Routes for Everyone Network	High	Project fills a gap in the Bike Routes for Everyone network by connecting to it on both ends	10
				Medium	Project extends the Bike Routes for Everyone network by connecting to it on one end	5
				Low	Project does not improve the Bike Routes for Everyone network	0
4	**Promote Safety**	To what extent does this project provide an immediate cyclist safety improvement at a location with a recorded safety concern?	Crashes within 100 feet of the project	High	Multiple crashes involving bicyclists have occurred on the segment or intersection in the last five years for which there is data.	10
				Medium	A cyclist crash has occurred on the segment or intersection in the last five years for which there is data.	5
				Low	No reported crash occurred	0
5	**Promote Health**	To what extent does the segment provide access to healthy food or is in areas with higher incidence of diabetes?	Health score with a 1/4-mile proximity to stores with healthy food and/or proximity to block groups of higher incidence of diabetes.	High	Project is within 1/4 mile of a healthy food store and in a census tract with a diabetes rate over 10%.	10
				Medium	Project is within 1/4 mile of a healthy food store or in a census tract with a diabetes rate over 10%.	5
				Low	Project is not within 1/4 mile of a healthy food store or in a census tract with a diabetes rate over 10%.	0
6	**Equity**	To what extent does the project benefit under-served communities?	Equity composite measure showing block groups where bicycle improvements could benefit underserved, vulnerable populations.	High	Block group scored in top tier in the Equity Index	10
				Medium	Block group scored in the middle tier in the Equity Index	5
				Low	Block group score in the lowest tier in the Equity Index	0